

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/752,699	12/29/2000	Christoph Lodde	44815/250299	9410		
23594 7	590 07/03/2002					
JOHN S. PRATT KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP 1100 PEACHTREE			EXAMINER			
			ZIRKER, DANIEL R			
SUITE 2800 ATLANTA, G	A 30309		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1771 DATE MAILED: 07/03/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					4	
· · ·	Application No.	Apr	olicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Group Art Unit		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover s	heet benea	ath the c	orrespondence addre	ss	
Period for Reply		_				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING	DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defauter to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state. 	reply within the statutoult, expire SIX (6) MON	ry minimum o	f thirty (30) mailing dat	days will be considered tine of this communication.		
Status						
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		·	.		•	
☐ This action is FINAL.						
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	•	•	ion as to	the merits is closed	in	
Disp sition of Claims	•					
(P Claim(s)	is/are	_ is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above claim(s)						
□ Claim(s)				is/are allowed.		
□ Claim(s) 1 - 1 7				is/are rejected.		
□ Claim(s)				is/are objected to.		
□ Claim(s)			are su	bject to restriction or el	ection	
Application Papers			require	ement.		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ing Review PTO-94	18				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	- ,		isapprove	ed.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj			• •			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received.	_		been			
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the least one of the least of	_					
*Certified copies not received:				•		
Attachment(s)	•					
☑Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	r No(s)	□ Int n	view Sum	mary, PTO-413		
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			PTO-152	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948			□ Other			

Office Action Summary

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Serial No. 09/752,699

Art Unit 1771

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicants regard as their invention.

- 2. Claims 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention. More particularly, both claims 2 and 6 each feature multiple ranges of values, and thereby are clearly vague and indefinite.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Riedel et al. taken either individually or in view of Knoke et al. The primary reference discloses (note particularly the Abstract, column 3 lines 29-36, column 4 lines 5-17, column 5 line 8 column 6 line 41, column 6 lines 57-63,

Serial No. 09/752,699
Art Unit 1771

column 7 lines 7-33, column 9 lines 14-65) substantially an anticipation of applicants' claimed adhesive tape formed from a non-woven backing which is coated with an adhesive on one surface, and heat treated on the opposing outer surface. reference, however lacks a clear teaching of a "needle punched non-woven" formed from the thermoplastic fibers. Note however (column 3 lines 28-31) that needle puncturing of non-woven fabrics such as those disclosed by the secondary reference are clearly taught, and additionally note that (column 6 lines 57-62) that physically entangling the fibers through "needle tacked" techniques is also clearly taught. As such, although the Examiner notes that embossing does not constitute needle punching, the reference may still by itself put this technique well within the ordinary skill of the art. Note also that the reference clearly teaches fibers and binder fibers such as applicants contemplate, as well as (e.g. column 7, lines 14-19) the advantages of supplying heat to the fibrous webs to produce an outer surface structure such as applicants contemplate.

The secondary reference Knoke et al., discloses a closely related article in which a non-woven fabric is made soft and fluffy through the utilization of needle puncturing the non-woven fabric, and also teaches that such fabrics can be coated with a suitable adhesive on one or both surfaces. Accordingly, one of ordinary skill, motivated by the advantages taught by

Serial No. 09/752,699

Art Unit 1771

Knoke et al. and aware of the clear teachings of related techniques found in the primary reference specification would have more than ample motivation to treat its non-woven fabric backings with a suitable needle punching technique and thereby form the claimed genus of articles. With respect to the dependent claims, those embodiments such as the various mixtures of fiber blends (claims 7-12) are each believed to be clearly hinted at in Riedel at column 5 line 13+, and the remaining parameters that are not either expressly or inherently disclosed are each believed to be obvious modifications to one of ordinary skill, in the absence of unexpected results.

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure. Note also Swanson et al., and the Examiner thanks applicants for providing a copy of the European Search Report issued in the corresponding German utility model application, which has been made of record.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Zirker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0031. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be

Sérial No. 09/752,699

Art Unit 1771

reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Dzirker:cdc

June 24, 2002

DANIEL ZIRKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1300

1700

Daniel Zinker